SPICY: a Python toolbox for meshless assimilation from image velocimetry using radial basis functions

Pietro Sperotto [1], **M. Ratz** [1], **and M. A. Mendez** [1]¶

1 The von Karman Institute for Fluid Dynamics (VKI), Rhode St. Genese, 1640, Belgium ¶ Corresponding author

Summary

This work presents our 'SPICY' (meshlesS Pressure from Image veloCimetrY) toolbox for meshless data assimilation in image velocimetry. The proposed approach allows for computing an analytic representation of velocity and pressure fields from noisy and sparse fields, regardless of whether these are acquired using cross-correlation or particle tracking algorithms. SPICY uses penalized and constrained Radial Basis Functions (RBFs), allowing for enforcing physical priors (e.g., divergence-free in incompressible flows) or boundary conditions (e.g., no slip). The resulting analytic expression allows for super-resolution on arbitrary points and accurate computation of derivatives. These could be used to compute derived quantities (e.g., vorticity) and to integrate the pressure Poisson equation to compute pressure fields for incompressible flows. A set of video tutorials on how to use SPICY is provided.

Statement of need

Data assimilation methods are becoming increasingly crucial in image velocimetry, thanks to high spatial and temporal resolutions available with modern interrogation processing methods. Assimilation techniques optimally combine measurements and first principle models (e.g., conservation laws) to maximize noise removal, achieve measurement super-resolution, and compute related quantities such as vorticity and pressure fields. Several methods have been proposed in the literature and assessed in the framework of the European project HOMER (Holistic Optical Metrology for Aero-Elastic Research), grant agreement number 769237. The most classic approaches for velocity assimilation involve regression of the particle trajectories, as in TrackFit by Gesemann et al. (2016). At the same time, the computation of derived quantities is usually carried out by first mapping the tracks onto Eulerian grids and then solving the relevant PDEs using standard CFD approaches (Agarwal et al., 2021; Schneiders et al., 2016).

Alternative mesh-free methods are the second-generation Flowfit (Gesemann et al., 2016), which combines the velocity regression and the pressure integration into a large nonlinear optimization problem, and methods based on physics-informed neural networks (PINNs) (Rao et al., 2020), which uses penalized artificial neural networks to solve for the velocity and the pressure fields. Recently, we proposed a meshless approach based on constrained Radial Basis Functions (RBFs) to solve both the velocity regression problem and the pressure computation (Sperotto et al., 2022b). This approach is akin to the well-known Kansa method (Fornberg & Flyer, 2015) for the meshless integration of PDEs. The main novelty is that this formulation yields linear regression problems that can be easily constrained (rather than penalized) and solved using standard linear system solvers. All the codes developed have now been released in the open SPICY (Super Resolution and Pressure from Image Velocimetry) toolbox linked to this contribution. Documentation, installation, and tutorials are available in the provided

repository and on a YouTube channel. While several open-source codes are available for PTV (Heyman, 2019; Meller & Liberzon, 2016) and PIV (Liberzon et al., 2020; Thielicke & Sonntag, 2021), to the authors' knowledge SPICY is the first open-source code for assimilation and pressure computation from image velocimetry.

Tutorials and ongoing works

A total of four tutorials have been published in the repository, allowing for reproducing the results of Sperotto et al. (2022b). The first tutorial presents the use of SPICY for solving the Laplace Equation in 2D, while tutorials two and three focus on the velocity regression and pressure computation on 2D velocity fields with or without the divergence-free constraints. Finally, tutorial four tackles a 3D case, namely the Stokes flow past a sphere. The solver currently implemented is a minor variant of the direct approach proposed in the original publication. Ongoing works are the extension to Reynolds average formulation to treat turbulent flows, as presented by Sperotto et al. (2022a) and the implementation of a Partition of Unity (PUM) approach to limit the memory usage, as by Ratz et al. (2022).

Acknowledgments

References

Agarwal, K., Ram, O., Wang, J., Lu, Y., & Katz, J. (2021). Reconstructing velocity and pressure from noisy sparse particle tracks using constrained cost minimization. *Experiments in Fluids*, *62*(4). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00348-021-03172-0

Fornberg, B., & Flyer, N. (2015). Solving PDEs with radial basis functions. *Acta Numerica*, *24*, 215–258. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0962492914000130

Gesemann, S., Huhn, F., Schanz, D., & Schröder, A. (2016). From Noisy Particle Tracks to Velocity, Acceleration and Pressure Fields using B-splines and Penalties. *International Symposium on Applications of Laser Techniques to Fluid Mechanics*.

Heyman, J. (2019). TracTrac: A fast multi-object tracking algorithm for motion estimation. *Computers & Geosciences*, *128*, 11–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2019.03.007

Liberzon, A., Roi Gurka, Lepchev, D., & Hadar Ben-Gida. (2020). *OpenPIV spatial and temporal analysis toolbox*. figshare. https://doi.org/10.6084/M9.FIGSHARE.12330608

Meller, Y., & Liberzon, A. (2016). Particle data management software for 3DParticle tracking velocimetry and related applications – the flowtracks package. *Journal of Open Research Software*, *4*(1), 23. https://doi.org/10.5334/jors.101

Rao, C., Sun, H., & Liu, Y. (2020). Physics-informed deep learning for incompressible laminar flows. *Theoretical and Applied Mechanics Letters*, *10*(3). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.taml.2020.01.039

Ratz, M., Sachs, S., König, J., Mendez, M. A., & Cierpka., C. (2022). Radial basis function regression of Lagrangian three-dimensional particle tracking data. *International Symposium on Applications of Laser and Imaging Techniques to Fluid Mechanics*.

Schneiders, J. F. G., Pröbsting, S., Dwight, R. P., Oudheusden, B. W. van, & Scarano, F. (2016). Pressure estimation from single-snapshot tomographic PIV in a turbulent boundary layer. *Experiments in Fluids*, *57*(4). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00348-016-2133-9

Sperotto, P., Pieraccini, S., & Mendez, M. (2022a). A RANS approach to the Meshless Computation of Pressure Fields From Image Velocimetry. *International Symposium on Applications of Laser and Imaging Techniques to Fluid Mechanics*.

Sperotto, P., Pieraccini, S., & Mendez, M. A. (2022b). A meshless method to compute pressure fields from image velocimetry. *Measurement Science and Technology*, *33*(9), 094005. https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6501/ac70a9

Thielicke, W., & Sonntag, R. (2021). Particle image velocimetry for MATLAB: Accuracy and enhanced algorithms in PIVlab. *Journal of Open Research Software*, *9*(1), 12. https://doi.org/10.5334/jors.334