In *rustworkx*, we provide a high-performance, flexible graph library for Python. *rustworkx* is inspired by *NetworkX* but addresses many performance concerns of the latter. *rustworkx* is written in Rust and is particularly suited for performance-sensitive applications that use graph representations.

**Statement of need**

*rustworkx* is a general-purpose graph theory library focused on performance. It wraps low-level Rust code (Matsakis & Klock, 2014) with a flexible Python API, providing fast implementations for graph data structures and popular graph algorithms.

*rustworkx* is inspired by the *NetworkX* library (Hagberg et al., 2008), but meets the needs of users that also need performance. Even though *NetworkX* is the de-facto standard graph and network analysis library for Python, it has performance concerns. *NetworkX* prefers pure Python implementations, which leads to bottlenecks in computationally intensive applications that use graph algorithms.

*rustworkx* addresses those performance concerns by switching to a Rust implementation. It has support for shortest paths, isomorphism, matching, multithreading via rayon (Stone & Matsakis, 2021), and much more.

**Related work**

The graph and network analysis ecosystem for Python is rich, with many libraries available. *igraph* (Csardi & Nepusz, 2006), *graph-tool* (Peixoto, 2014), *SNAP* (Leskovec & Sosič, 2016), and *Networkkit* (Staudt et al., 2016) are Python libraries written in C or C++ that can replace *NetworkX* with better performance. We also highlight *SageMath*’s graph theory module (The Sage Developers, 2020), which has a stronger focus in mathematics than *NetworkX*.

However, the authors found that no library matched the flexibility that *NetworkX* provided for interacting with graphs. *igraph* is efficient for static large graphs, but does not handle graph updates as efficiently. *SNAP* and *Networkkit* do not support associated edge data with arbitrary Python types. *graph-tool* supports associated edge data at the cost of maintaining the data in a separate data structure. Thus, the main contribution of *rustworkx* is keeping the ease of use of *NetworkX* without sacrificing performance.

We note that existing code using *NetworkX* needs to be modified to use *rustworkx*. *rustworkx* is not a drop-in replacement for *NetworkX*, which may be a possible limitation for some users.
The authors provide a NetworkX to rustworkx conversion guide in the documentation to aid in those situations.

**Graph data structures**

*rustworkx* provides two core data structures: `PyGraph` and `PyDiGraph`. They correspond to undirected and directed graphs, respectively. Graphs describe a set of nodes and the edges connecting pairs of those nodes. Internally, *rustworkx* leverages the *petgraph* library (bluss et al., 2021) to store the graphs using an adjacency list model and the *PyO3* library (Hewitt et al., 2021) for the Python bindings.

Nodes and edges of the graph may also be associated with data payloads. Payloads can contain arbitrary data, such as node labels or edge lengths. Common uses of data payloads include representing weighted graphs. Any Python object can be a data payload, which makes the library flexible because no assumptions are made about the payload types.

*rustworkx* operates on payloads with callbacks. Callbacks are functions that take payloads and return statically typed data. They resemble the named attributes in *NetworkX*. Callbacks are beneficial because they bridge the arbitrary stored data with the static types *rustworkx* expects.

A defining characteristic of *rustworkx* graphs is that each node maps to a non-negative integer node index, and similarly, each edge maps to an edge index. Those indices uniquely determine nodes and edges in the graph. Indices are stable, hence the index for a node \( v \) does not change even if another node \( u \) is removed. Moreover, indices separate the data representing the graph’s structure, which is stored in Rust, from the payloads associated with nodes and edges, which are stored in Python.

**Use Cases**

*rustworkx* is suitable for modeling graphs ranging from a few nodes scaling up to 4 billion. The library is particularly suited for applications that have core routines executing graph algorithms. In those applications, the performance of *rustworkx* considerably reduces computation time. Examples of applications using *rustworkx* include the Qiskit compiler (Treinish et al., 2021), PennyLane (Bergholm et al., 2020), atompack (Ullberg, 2021), and qtcodes (Jha et al., 2021).

For common use cases, *rustworkx* can provide speedups ranging from 3x to 100x compared to the same code using *NetworkX* while staying competitive with other compiled libraries like igraph and graph-tool. The gains in performance are application-specific, but as a general rule, the more work that is offloaded to *rustworkx* and Rust, the larger are the gains.

We illustrate use cases with examples from the field of quantum computing that motivated the development of the library.

---

Graph Creation, Manipulation, and Traversal

The first use case is based on the manipulation of directed acyclic graphs (DAGs) by Qiskit using rustworkx. Qiskit represents quantum circuits as DAGs on which the compiler operates to perform analysis and transformations (Childs et al., 2019).

![Quantum circuit and its equivalent representation as a DAG of instructions built by Qiskit.](image1)

Qiskit creates a DAG with nodes that represent either instructions or registers present in the quantum circuit (Cross et al., 2021) and with edges that represent the registers each instruction operates on. Qiskit also applies transformations to the instructions, which manipulate the graph by adding and removing nodes and edges. rustworkx brings the graph data structure underlying those operations.

In addition, Qiskit needs to traverse the graph. Some transformations, such as greedily merging instructions to reduce circuit depth, require graph traversal. rustworkx offers the methods for traversals such as breadth-first search, depth-first search, and topological sorting.

Subgraph Isomorphism

The second use case is based on the qubit mapping problem for Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) devices (Bharti et al., 2022; Preskill, 2018). NISQ devices do not have full connectivity among qubits, hence Qiskit needs to take into account an undirected graph representing the connectivity of the device when compiling quantum circuits. Qiskit transforms the quantum circuit such that the pairs of qubits executing two-qubit gates respect the device’s architectural constraints. There are many proposed solutions to the qubit mapping problem, including algorithms based on subgraph isomorphism (Li et al., 2021).

![Graph representing the connectivity of the ibmq_montreal device. Qiskit can check if the required connectivity by a circuit is subgraph isomorphic to the device’s connectivity when solving the qubit mapping problem.](image2)
**rustworkx** implements the VF2 algorithm (Cordella et al., 2004) and some of the VF2++ heuristics (Jüttner & Madarasi, 2018) to solve subgraph isomorphism. The implementations include both checking if a mapping exists and returning a mapping among the nodes. Qiskit leverages **rustworkx** to provide an experimental layout method based on VF2. Qiskit checks if the graph representing the connectivity required by the circuit and the connectivity provided by the device are subgraph isomorphic. If they are, Qiskit uses VF2 mapping to map the qubits without increasing the depth of the circuit.
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