

KADE: A desktop application for Q methodology

Shawn Banasick¹

1 Kobe College

DOI: 10.21105/joss.01360

Software

- Review I^A
- Repository C
- Archive 🗗

Submitted: 04 March 2019 Published: 23 April 2019

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY).

Background

Q Methodology is an approach to understanding subjectivity that combines qualitative and quantitative techniques (Brown, 1996 Ramlo:2016). Originally developed in the 1930s, it allows for a systematic investigation into the viewpoints or perspectives of the participants in the study (Watts & Stenner, 2012). A Q methodology study begins with the researcher assembling a set of statements related to the research topic. The statements are often drawn from participant interviews, but can also be derived from theories related to the research topic or other sources (Brown, 1996). The participants in the study are asked to rank and sort the statements in accordance with a predefined grid pattern (Figure 1). If the participants feel that the statement aligns with their opinion they are asked to place it more to the right (positive) side of the grid, while if they disagree with it they should place it more to the left (negative) side.



Figure 1: Sample Q Sort Grid Pattern

The participant statement sort grids are analyzed using specialized software packages. After examining correlations of the statement sort grids, researchers conduct a centroid factor analysis or principal components analysis. Usually, the extracted factors or components are then rotated using a theory-based judgmental (manual) rotation or varimax rotation. In the final steps of the analysis the researcher uses the factor loadings to select representative participant sorts, which are then used to produce composite statement sort grids for each factor. The researcher combines these composite factors with interview data and other information to interpret the subjective viewpoints of the study participants (Walker & McCline, 2018).

Banasick, (2019). KADE: A desktop application for Q methodology. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 4(36), 1360. https://doi.org/10.21105/ 1 joss.01360



The KADE application

KADE is a desktop application for the analysis of Q methodology data which operates with full functionality on Microsoft Windows, Apple macOS, and Linux operating systems. It has a graphic user interface that makes it easy to use for students or for researchers with a background mainly in qualitative methods. Installation is not required for use; KADE is portable and can be run from a usb flash drive.

KADE provides a number of features currently not available in other open source packages for Q methodology. It includes interactive visualizations that make it easer to manipulate and interpret the data gathered in a Q methodology study. For example, when researchers use centroid factor analysis the KADE judgmental rotation screen displays both a table of the factor loadings data and a scatter plot of the two factors being rotated (Figure 2).



 ${\bf Figure \ 2:} \ {\rm Judgmental \ Rotation \ Screen}$

Participant loadings that will be auto-flagged are automatically highlighted in both the factor loadings table and the scatter plot, greatly facilitating decisions related to the rotation of the factors. Similarly, on the factor loadings screen KADE provides a table of the loadings with the participants automatically sorted according to the factor on which they have the highest loading (Figure 3).



Edit Mow Min	haw Halp					KADE						
Start	Row Hig	hlighting:	Flagging:									
1. Input	None	Colors G	Auto-Flag at p < 0.05 * All None									
2. Data	Trequire majority of common variance Default sort is by factor group (FG - highest loading factor). Click the column headers to re-sort.											
3. Correlations	Num	Participant	FG	Factor 1	F1	Factor 2	F2	Factor 3	F3	Factor 4	F4	
	50	165-00	F 1-20	0.0074	¥	0.4339		0.1020		-0.0130		
4. Factors	4	res-4	F1-27	0.6784		0.3548		0.2543		0.135		
5 Detetion	22	res-22	F1-28	0.6339		0.5288		0.1237		-0.0546		
5. Rotation	5	res-5	F1-29	0.5796		0.16/1		0.1606		0.4632		
6 Loadings	12	res-12	F1-30	0.5524		0.3225		0.3263		0.2868		
	29	res-29	F1-31	0.5474		0.5026		0.4316		-0.0499		
7. Output	40	res-2	F1-32	0.4751	•	0.3137		0.1047		-0.0209		
	40	res-40	F 1-33	0.4219		0.2027		-0 2077		0.2009		
Project History	42	105-30	E2.1	0.3402		0.1302		-0.2977		0.1037		
	42	res-42	F2-1	0.1230		0.0010		-0.0030		0.4320		
	50	105-51	F2-2	0.2171		0.0443	× .	-0.1042		0.1029		
	27	105-50	F2-3	0.2072		0.031		0.0275		0.1555		
	59	105-27	F2-4 F2.6	0.1027		0.020	×	0.3324		-0.0375		
Clear Project	27	105-50	F2-5	0.0321		0.5453	•	-0.2420		-0.0392		
	17	105-37	F2-0	0.5201		0.5452		-0.0414		0.2979		
негр	10	res-17	F2-7	0.2942		0.5275		0.3123		0.3077		
Attribution /	40	165-40	F2-0 F2-0	0.3937		0.4782		0.2703		-0.0073		
License	31	res-31	F2-3	0.4076		0.4734		-0 1025		0.0742		
_	31	res-44	E2-10	0.4175		0.4677		-0.1035		0.319		
	15	res-15	F2-11	-0.066		0.4316		0.1715		-0 1024		
	34	res-34	F2-12	0.000		0.4244	•	-0.0863		0.1524		
	6	105-34	F2-13	0.007		0.2450		-0.0003		0.1007		
	3	105-0	F3-2	0.0693		-0.0659		0.5452	•	0.1007		
		165-0	1.3-2	0.0093	· · · ·	0.0009	_	0.0402		0.1035	:	
	Send	Send Table Data to Output				Invert Factor				Split Bipo	lar Facto	

Figure 3: Factor Loadings Screen

The output file from KADE provides the same data tables as the most commonly used application for Q methodology, PQMethod (Schmolck, 2014), but it also includes tables of the relative rankings of statements between factors. In addition, KADE generates visualizations of the composite factors to aid in the interpretation of the results (Figure 4). The visualizations can be exported from the application in either PNG or scalable vector graphics (SVG) format.

Another unique feature of KADE is the ability to change the confidence level settings for the auto-flagging function. Depending on the nature of the project data, the standard 95% auto-flag level may select a non-optimal number of participants as representative Q sorts. By adjusting the settings researchers can easily explore multiple flagging strategies to find the most suitable set of representative Q sorts for their project.



								≜ -	ø	8					
Edit View W	Vindo	w Help													
Start	O	Options Factor Characteristics Factors Table Factor Vi				ualizations									
1. Input															
2. Data		Compos	site Q so	ort for Fa	actor 1								_		
3. Correlations		-5 Objectively	-4 **◀ Objectively	-3 ** ◀ Professionally	-2 ** Completely	-1 ** Interactively	0 Globally	1 Credibly	2 Intrinsically	3 **► Seamlessly	4 ★*► Synergistically	5 **► Quickly	-		
4. Factors		pursue diverse catalysts for change for	seize scalable metrics whereas	cultivate one-to-one	pursue scalable customer	coordinate proactive e -	incubate standards	innovate granular	incubate intuitive	underwhelm optimal testing	evolve 2.0 technologies	cultivate optimal			
5. Rotation		interoperable	services.	service with	sustainable	process -	channels before	'organic'	and real - time	whereas bricks	just in time	tactical			
6. Loadings		Distinctively re - engineer revolutionary	**◀ Holistically foster superior methodologies without module	** ◄ Dynamically innovate source-leveling	Completely iterate covalent	Progressively maintain extensive	Seamlessly visualize quality	* Continually reintermediate integrated	Objectively integrate emerging core	**► Energistically scale future - proof core	Distinctively exploit optimal alignments for	★★► Interactively procrastinate high - payoff content with proceedings			
7. Output		and premium	- driven best	service for	areas via	via extensible	capital without	through	before process	vis - a - vis	bandwidth.	backward -			
Project History			* Dynamically target high - payoff intellectual capital for	Dramatically disseminate standardized metrics after resource -	Completely synergize scalable e - commerce rather than high	Quickly coordinate e - business applications through	Completely synergize resource taxing relationships via premier	Proactively fabricate one - to - one materials via effective e -	Dramatically synthesize integrated schemas with optimal	Proactively envisioned multimedia based expertise and cross-media	Quickly drive clicks - and - mortar catalysts for change before				
Clear Project Help				Dramatically evisculate holistic innovation rather than	Assertively iterate resource maximizing products after	Appropriately communicate one - to - one technology after plug -	Collaboratively administrate empowered markets via plug-and-play	* Dramatically maintain icks-and-mortar solutions without	Quickly maximize timely deliverables for real-time schemas.	Holisticly predominate extensible testing procedures for					
Attribution / License					** ◀ Quickly deploy strategic networks with compelling e - business.	Dynamically procrastinate B2C users after installed base benefits.	Credibly pontificate highly efficient manufactured	Dramatically engage top-line web services vis-a-vis cutting-edge	Objectively innovate empowered manufactured products		1				
						Dramatically visualize customer directed convergence	* Credibly reintermediate backend ideas for cross - platform	Holistically pontificate installed base portals after maintainable							
						Efficiently unleash cross-media information without	* osfluorescently engage worldwide methodologies with web -	Collaboratively administrate turnkey channels whereas virtual							
							Seamlessly empower fully researched growth strategies and								

Figure 4: Composite Q Sort Visualization Screen

Planned additions the application include hierarchical factor structure tables and visualizations, which could help researchers to identify the appropriate number of factors to extract (van Exel & Rietveld, 2011), and the internationalization of the graphical user interface to make it easier for non-English speaking researchers to use the application.

References

Brown, S. R. (1996). Q methodology and qualitative research. Qualitative Health Research, 6(4), 561–567. doi:10.1177/104973239600600408

Schmolck, P. (2014). PQmethod version 2.35. Retrieved from http://schmolck.org/ qmethod/#PQMethod

van Exel, d., N.J.A., & Rietveld, P. (2011). "I can do perfectly well without a car!" An exploration of stated preferences for middle-distance travel. *Transportation*, 38, 383–407. doi:10.1007/s11116-010-9315-8

Walker, L., B. B., & McCline, R. M. (2018). Q methodology and q-perspectives[®] online: Innovative research methodology and instructional technology. *TechTrends*, 62(5), 450–461. doi:10.1007/s11528-018-0314-5

Watts, S., & Stenner, P. (2012). Sage Publications. doi:10.4135/9781446251911